	Case 12-32118 Filed 06/12	2/14	Doc 1	582
	15			
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 5761	3)		
2	malevinson@orrick.com NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)			
3	nhile@orrick.com PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262	763)		
4	pbocash@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP			
5	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497			
6	Telephone: +1-916-447-9200 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900			
7 8	Attorneys for Debtor City of Stockton			
9	UNITED STATES BA			
10	EASTERN DISTRIC			
11 12	SACRAMEN	IUD	IVISIOI	N
12	In re:		ase No	. 2012-32118
13	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,			. OHS-19
15	Debtor.		hapter	
16			-	> NFOR ORDER APPROVING
17		ST	FIPUL A	ATION FOR ORDER NT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)
18		FU	U RTHE	R EXTENDING TIME WHICH TO ASSUME OR
19		N		UNEXPIRED LEASES OF SIDENTIAL REAL TY
20		Da	ate:	June 19, 2014
21 22		De	me: ept: dge:	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 35 Hon. Christopher M. Klein
23] 54	age.	
24	Pursuant to § 365(d)(4) of title 11 of the U	United	States	Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") ¹ .
25	the City of Stockton, California (the "City"), the			
26	this "Motion") for entry of an order approving the			
27				
28	¹ All references to code sections are to the United States Ba specified.	ankrupt	cy Code,	11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., unless otherwise
				OTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATIO FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(D)(4

and between the City and the parties in interest² to five of the City's lease/leaseback transactions 1 2 extending the time under \$ 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) within which the City must assume or reject certain 3 unexpired leases of nonresidential real property. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 4 5 The Court has jurisdiction over this motion and the relief requested pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 6 §§ 157 and 1334, and this matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157. Venue for the 7 motion is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. 8 BACKGROUND 9 The opinion regarding the City's eligibility for chapter 9 relief demonstrates that the Court 10 is intimately familiar with the complex facts of the City's bankruptcy case. See In re City of Stockton, Cal., 493 B.R. 772 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2013). Accordingly, the City has omitted the 11 12 customary background description of the events leading to and following the City's petition for 13 relief and instead focuses this Motion on the background relevant to the City's unexpired leases 14 of nonresidential real property. 15 Prior to filing its petition for relief on June 28, 2012, the City had entered into the following five transactions involving leases/leaseback financings to fund various public capital 16 17 improvements. In each transaction, the City entered into a lease for nonresidential real property (each a "Lease") that requires the City to pay rent for the use and occupancy of the leased 18 property.³ The Leases, as well as the real party or parties in interest⁴ and Indenture Trustee for 19 20 each, are as follows: 21 111 22 /// 23 24 ² National Public Finance Guaranty Corporation ("NPFG"), Assured Guaranty Corporation and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation (collectively, "Assured"), Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac"), and Wells Fargo Bank 25 National Association ("Wells Fargo") as Indenture Trustee with respect to the Lease transactions identified in this Motion (together with the City, the "Stipulating Parties"). 26 Although described as lease transactions, it could be argued that certain of these transactions should be classified as secured loan transactions. Such transactions are included in this motion only in an abundance of caution in the event 27 that such transactions are classified as true leases. As set forth herein, the Stipulating Parties reserve all rights with

- 2 -

²⁷ that such transactions are classified as true leases. As set forth herein, the Stipulating Parties reserve all rights with respect to these issues.
28 ⁴ The real parties in interact to all Leases are the insurement of the respective hand and certificate of participation.

^{28 &}lt;sup>4</sup> The real parties in interest to all Leases are the insurers of the respective bond and certificate of participation obligations.

1	Lease	Real Party or Parties in Interest	Indenture Trustee
2	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2003, by and		
3	between the Stockton Public Financing Authority (the "Authority"), as sublessor, and the City, as sublessee, relating to Certificates of Participation	Ambac Assurance Corporation	Wells Fargo Bank, National Association
5	(Redevelopment Housing Projects), Series 2003A and Taxable Series 2003B (the "2003 Lease")		("Wells Fargo")
6	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by		
7	and between the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Stockton (the "Agency"), as lessor, and the City,	National Public Finance	
8	as lessee, relating to Redevelopment Agency of the City of Stockton Revenue Bonds, Series 2004	Guaranty Corporation ("NPFG")	Wells Fargo
9	(Stockton Events Center–Arena Project) (the "2004 Arena Lease") as amended	(
10	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2004, by and		
11	between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing	NPFG	Wells Fargo
12 13	Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Parking and Capital Projects) (the "2004 Parking Lease")	NITO	wens Pargo
13	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2006, by		
15	and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City,	NDEC	Walls Fores
15 16	as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority 2006 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A (the "2006 Lease")	NPFG	Wells Fargo
17	Lease Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2007, by		
18	and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing		
19	Authority Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series A (Building Acquisition	Assured Guaranty Corporation; Assured Guaranty Municipal	Wells Fargo
20	Financing Project) and Taxable Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series B	Corporation	
21	(Building Acquisition Financing Project) (the "2007 Lease")		
22			
23	While each Lease ⁵ differs from the others in some respects, the various financings and		
24	their Leases share the same fundamental structure:	To accomplish each tran	saction, the City
25	leased nonresidential real property to either the Authority or the Agency (each a "PFA"), and the		
26	PFA subleased the property back to the City. The PFA then assigned its right to receive rental		
27			
28	⁵ Copies of the Leases were attached as exhibits to the Declaration Of Vanessa Burke In Support Of City Of Stockton's Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. Nos. 984-87].		
	- 3	MOTION FOR ORDE	R APPROVING STIPULATION UANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)

payments (along with certain other rights relevant to the enforcement of remedies) under the
 applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issued bonds, or the trustee issued certificates of
 participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceeds to the City for expenditure on capital
 improvements.

Payment of the principal of and interest on the bonds and COPs is made through the
applicable trustee, pursuant to, inter alia, the terms of the related indenture or trust agreement,
from the proceeds of rental payments received from the City pursuant to the terms of the
applicable Lease and related assignment.⁶

9 Pursuant to \S 365(d)(4)(A), which is incorporated into chapter 9 cases by \S 901(a), the 10 City was initially required to decide whether to assume or reject its unexpired leases of 11 nonresidential property within 120 days of the entry of the order for relief. The Court's entry of 12 its order for relief on April 1, 2013 [Dkt. No. 843] triggered the 120-day period, giving the City 13 until July 30, 2013, to assume or reject its unexpired leases of nonresidential real property. 14 Section 365(d)(4)(B) allows bankruptcy courts to extend the initial 120-day period, in the first 15 instance upon a motion for cause brought by the debtor to extend the deadline by 90 days, and in 16 all subsequent instances upon the prior written consent of the respective lessors.

17 On July 5, 2013, the City moved, by its Revised And Amended Motion For Order 18 Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or 19 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 993] ("For Cause Extension 20 Motion") for a 90-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(i). No party in interest opposed such 21 motion. On July 24, 2013, the Court granted the For Cause Extension Motion, establishing a new 22 deadline of October 28, 2013 for the City to assume or reject its leases of nonresidential real 23 property. See Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending The Time Within Which The 24 City Must Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1033] 25 ("For Cause Extension Order"). All subsequent extensions of this deadline could be made only 26 upon the prior written consent of the individual lessors. See § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

^{28 &}lt;sup>6</sup> The descriptions of the transaction structure are included in this Motion for summary purposes only. In the event of any inconsistency between such descriptions and the relevant underlying documents, the underlying documents shall control.

1	On October 14, 2013, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For
2	Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or
3	Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1143] ("First Stipulated
4	Extension Motion") for a 120-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On October 16, 2013, upon
5	the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the First Stipulated Extension
6	Motion, establishing a new deadline of February 25, 2014 for the City to assume or reject its
7	leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §
8	365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of
9	Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1154] ("First Stipulated Extension Order").
10	On February 4, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For
11	Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or
12	Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1245] ("Second Stipulated
13	Extension Motion") for a 125-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On February 7, 2014, upon
14	the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Second Stipulated
15	Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of June 30, 2014 for the City to assume or reject
16	its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C.
17	§ 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of
18	Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1251] ("Second Stipulated Extension Order").
19	RELIEF REQUESTED AND BASIS THEREFORE
20	By this Motion, the City seeks an order pursuant to § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) approving the
21	attached stipulation to extend the time within which the City must assume or reject the Leases by
22	123 days, from June 30, 2014, through and including October 31, 2014.
23	The City continues to grapple with complex and time-consuming issues in this Case.
24	Many of such issues relating to the Leases were addressed through the mediation process
25	conducted by Judge Elizabeth Perris. As evidenced by the first amended plan as modified filed
26	by the City on June 2, 2014, the mediation process resulted in agreements between the City and
27	several creditors holding significant claims against the City.
28	///
	- 5 - MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)

1	But no plan has been confirmed. In light of the ongoing uncertainty about the future
2	course of this case, and in light of the complex potential issues, a further extension is necessary in
3	order to let the negotiations and plan confirmation process play out. Litigation of these disputes,
4	in addition to the numerous other issues surrounding the Leases, would entail great expense both
5	in terms of time and dollars.
6	It would thus cause significant prejudice to the City and to the other Stipulating Parties if
7	the City was forced to make a determination regarding assumption or rejection at this time. The
8	Stipulating Parties, and each of them, are therefore of the belief that a further extension of the
9	time for the City to assume or reject the Leases is appropriate and in the best interest of all
10	interested parties.
11	COMPLETE RESERVATION OF RIGHTS
12	This Motion requests no relief other than the extension of time for the City to assume or
13	reject the Leases. The Stipulating Parties, and each of them, reserve all rights, defenses and
14	arguments other than those solely with respect to the extension of the time within which the City
15	must assume or reject the Leases. The rights reserved by the Stipulating Parties include, but are
16	not limited to, the following: (1) all rights, defenses and arguments as to whether the Leases are
17	"leases" within the meaning of § 365; and (2) all rights, defenses and arguments with respect to
18	the unlawful detainer suits against the City in the California Superior Court for the County of San
19	Joaquin, case numbers 39-2012-00277622-CU-UD-STK and 39-2012-280741-CU-UD-STK.
20	Moreover, no party in interest waives any rights, defenses and arguments by virtue of any failure
21	to seek payment under the Leases during the periods prior to the assumption or rejection of the
22	Leases, and there shall be no implication drawn from or prejudice resulting from any party's
23	failure to seek such payment.
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///
	- 6 - MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(D)(4)

	Case 12-32118 Filed	06/12/14 C	000 1582
1	CONCLUSION		
2		-	he Court enter an order approving the
3	attached stipulation to extend the time for the	ne City to assu	me or reject its unexpired leases of
4	nonresidential real property by 123 days, through and including October 31, 2014, and granting		
5	such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.		
6	Detade June 12, 2014	June 12, 2014 MARC A. LEVINSON	
7	Dated: June 12, 2014	NORM	IAN C. HILE
8			CK B. BOCASH Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
9			
10		By:	/s/ Marc A. Levinson
11			MARC A. LEVINSON Attorneys for Debtor
12			City of Stockton
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	OHSUSA:758246016.1	- 7 -	MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)

Exhibit A

	Case 12-32118 Filed 06/12	/14 Doc 1582	
	7		
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 5761)	3)	
2	malevinson@orrick.com NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)		
3	nhile@orrick.com PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262763)		
4	pbocash@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
5	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497		
6	Telephone: +1-916-447-9200 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900		
7	Attorneys for Debtor City of Stockton		
8			
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SACRAMENT	TO DIVISION	
12			
13	In re:	Case No. 2012-32118	
14	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D.C. No. OHS-19	
15	Debtor.	Chapter 9	
16		STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)	
17		FURTHER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH TO ASSUME OR	
18		REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL	
19		PROPERTY	
20		Date: June 19, 2014 Time: 9:30 a.m.	
21		Dept: Courtroom 35 Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein	
22			
23			
24	The City of Stockton, California (the "Cit	y"), the debtor in the above-captioned chapter 9	
25	bankruptcy case, National Public Finance Guaran	ty Corporation ("NPFG"), Assured Guaranty	
26	Corporation and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation (collectively, "Assured"), Ambac		
27	Assurance Corporation ("Ambac"), and Wells Fa		
28		STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) FURTHER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH TO ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY	

as Indenture Trustee with respect to the Lease transactions identified in Recital A below (all

2 || together, the "Stipulating Parties"), by and through their respective attorneys of record, seek an

3 order approving the following stipulation (this "Stipulation") extending the time under

4 Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) within which the City must assume or reject certain

5 6

1

RECITALS

7

A. <u>The City's Lease/Leaseback Financings</u>

unexpired leases of nonresidential real property.

Prior to filing its petition for relief on June 28, 2012, the City had entered into the
following five transactions involving leases/leaseback financings to fund various public capital
improvements. In each transaction, the City entered into a lease for nonresidential real property
(each a "Lease") that requires the City to pay rent for the use and occupancy of the leased
property. The Leases, as well as the real party or parties in interest and Indenture Trustee for
each, are as follows:

14					
15	Lease	Real Party or Parties in Interest	Indenture Trustee		
16	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2003, by and				
17	between the Stockton Public Financing Authority (the "Authority"), as sublessor, and the City, as	Ambac Assurance	Wells Fargo Bank, National Association		
18	sublessee, relating to Certificates of Participation (Redevelopment Housing Projects), Series 2003A	Corporation	("Wells Fargo")		
19	and Taxable Series 2003B (the "2003 Lease")		<u> </u>		
20	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Redevelopment Agency of the City				
21	of Stockton (the "Agency"), as lessor, and the City,	National Public Finance	Walls Fores		
22	as lessee, relating to Redevelopment Agency of the City of Stockton Revenue Bonds, Series 2004	Guaranty Corporation ("NPFG")	Wells Fargo		
23	(Stockton Events Center–Arena Project) (the "2004 Arena Lease") as amended				
24	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2004, by and				
25	between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing	NDEC	Walls Fores		
26	Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Parking and Capital Projects) (the "2004 Parking	NPFG	Wells Fargo		
27	Lease")				
28			RDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C		

1	Lease	Real Party or Parties in Interest	Indenture Trustee
2	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2006, by	III Interest	
3 4	and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority 2006 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds,	NPFG	Wells Fargo
5	Series A (the "2006 Lease")		
6	Lease Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2007, by		
	and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing	Assured Guaranty	
7	Authority Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series A (Building Acquisition	Corporation; Assured Guaranty Municipal	Wells Fargo
8	Financing Project) and Taxable Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series B	Corporation	
9 10	(Building Acquisition Financing Project) (the "2007 Lease")		
11			
12	While each Lease differs from the others in	some respects, the various	us financings and their
13	Leases share the same fundamental structure: To accomplish each transaction, the City leased		
14	nonresidential real property to either the Authority or the Agency (each a "PFA"), and the PFA		
15	subleased the property back to the City. The PFA then assigned its right to receive rental		
16	payments (along with certain other rights relevant to the enforcement of remedies) under the		
17	applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issued bonds, or the trustee issued certificates of		
18	participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceeds to the City for expenditure on capital		
19	improvements.		
20	Payment of the principal of and interest on the bonds and COPs is made through the		
21	applicable trustee, pursuant to, inter alia, the terms	of the related indenture of	or trust agreement,
22	from the proceeds of rental payments received from	n the City pursuant to the	terms of the
23	applicable Lease and related assignment. ¹		
24	The real parties in interest to all Leases are	the insurers of the respec	tive bond and
25	certificate of participation obligations.		
26	¹ The descriptions of the transaction structure are included in	this Stipulation for summary	nurnoses only. In the
27	¹ The descriptions of the transaction structure are included in this Stipulation for summary purposes only. In the event of any inconsistency between such descriptions and the relevant underlying documents, the underlying documents shall control.		
28	STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(D)(4) FURTHER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN NUMERIA OF DEFINITION FOR DEFINITION F		

1

B.

Prior Extensions Of The Deadline To Assume Or Reject Leases

2 Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(A), which is incorporated into chapter 9 cases 3 by Bankruptcy Code § 901(a), the City was initially required to decide whether to assume or 4 reject its unexpired leases of nonresidential property within 120 days of the entry of the order for 5 relief. The Court's entry of its order for relief on April 1, 2013 [Dkt. No. 843] triggered the 120-6 day period, giving the City until July 30, 2013, to assume or reject its unexpired leases of 7 nonresidential real property. Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(B) allows the Court to extend the 8 period during which the City may assume or reject the Leases, in the first instance upon a motion 9 for cause brought by the City to extend the deadline by 90 days, and in all subsequent instances 10 upon the prior written consent of the respective lessors.

11 On July 5, 2013, the City moved, by its Revised And Amended Motion For Order 12 Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or 13 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 993] ("For Cause Extension 14 Motion") for a 90-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(i). On July 24, 2013, the Court granted the 15 For Cause Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of October 28, 2013 for the City to 16 assume or reject its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. 17 § 365(d)(4) Extending The Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or Reject Unexpired 18 Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1033] ("For Cause Extension Order"). All 19 subsequent extensions of this deadline could be made only upon the prior written consent of the 20 individual lessors. See § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

On October 14, 2013, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For
Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or
Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1143] ("First Stipulated
Extension Motion") for a 120-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On October 16, 2013, upon
the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the First Stipulated Extension
Motion, establishing a new deadline of February 25, 2014 for the City to assume or reject its
leases of nonresidential real property. *See* Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §

- 4 -

1 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of 2 Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1154] ("First Stipulated Extension Order"). 3 On February 4, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For 4 Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or 5 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1245] ("Second Stipulated 6 Extension Motion") for a 125-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On February 7, 2014, upon 7 the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Second Stipulated 8 Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of June 30, 2014 for the City to assume or reject 9 its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. 10 § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of 11 Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1251] ("Second Stipulated Extension Order"). 12 C. **Reasons For The Stipulation** 13 The Stipulating Parties agree that in light of the status of this case, including the filing by 14 the City of a first amended plan as modified on June 2, 2014, there is no reason for the City to 15 force any issues relating to the Leases. The Stipulating Parties, and each of them, are therefore of 16 the belief that a further extension of the time for the City to assume or reject the Leases is 17 appropriate and in the best interest of all interested parties. 18 Accordingly, the Stipulating Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows: 19 **STIPULATION** 20 A. The Stipulating Parties agree that the time within which the City must 21 assume or reject the Leases under Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4) should be extended by 123 days, 22 from June 30, 2014 through and including October 31, 2014. 23 Β. The consent of the Stipulating Parties, not including the City, satisfies 24 Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). 25 C. In entering into this Stipulation, the Stipulating Parties, and each of them, 26 reserve all rights, defenses and arguments other than those solely with respect to the extension of 27 the time within which the City must assume or reject the Leases. The rights reserved by the STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. 28 § 365(d)(4) FURTHER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN - 5 -WHICH TO ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY

		00 1982
1	1 Stipulating Parties include, but are not limited to, the follo	owing: (1) all rights, defenses and
2	2 arguments as to whether the Leases are "leases" within the	he meaning of Bankruptcy Code § 365;
3	3 and (2) all rights, defenses and arguments with respect to	the unlawful detainer suits against the
4	4 City in the California Superior Court for the County of Sa	an Joaquin, case numbers 39-2012-
5	5 00277622-CU-UD-STK and 39-2012-280741-CU-UD-S'	TK. Moreover, no party in interest
6	6 waives any rights, defenses and arguments by virtue of an	ny failure to seek payment under the
7	7 Leases during the periods prior to the assumption or rejection	tion of the Leases, and there shall be no
8	8 implication drawn from or prejudice resulting from any p	arty's failure to seek such payment.
9		
10	10Dated: June 12, 2014ORRICK, HI	ERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
11		
12	Whate A. Lev	inson
13	13 Attorneys fo	r the City of Stockton
14		
15	15 Dated: June 12, 2014 WEIL, GOT	TSHAL & MANGES LLP
16		
17	17 Debra A. D	
18	18 Attorneys for Corporation	or National Public Finance Guarantee
19	19	
20	20 Dated: June 12, 2014 SIDLEY AU	JSTIN LLP
21	21	
22	<u>S Jejjrey E</u>	
23		or Assured Guaranty Corp. and Assured
24	24 Guaranty M	Iunicipal Corp.
25	25	
26		
	27	
28	- 6 -	STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) FURTHER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH TO ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY

I	Case 12-32118	Filed 06/12/14 Doc 1582
1	Dated: June 12, 2014	ARENT FOX LLP
2		
3		/s/ David L. Dubrow David L. Dubrow
4		Attorneys for Ambac Assurance Corp.
5		
6	Dated: June 12, 2014	MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY
7	Duce. Jule 12, 2014	AND POPEO, P.C.
8		
9		/s/ William W. Kannel William W. Kannel
10		Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Indenture Trustee
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) FURTHER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN
	OHSUSA:758246030.1	- 7 - WHICH TO ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY