	Case 12-32118 Filed 02/10	0/15	Doc 1	882	
	15				
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 5761)	3)			
2	malevinson@orrick.com NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)				
3	nhile@orrick.com PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262763)				
4	pbocash@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP				
5	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497				
6	Telephone: +1-916-447-9200 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900				
7	Attorneys for Debtor City of Stockton				
8					
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKR	UPTCY	COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF	CALIF	ORNIA	
11	SACRAMENT	TO DI	VISION	٧	
12					
13	In re:	Ca	ase No	. 2012-32118	
14	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D.	C. No.	OHS-24	
15	Debtor.	Cł	napter	9	
16				FOR ORDER APPROVING	
17		PU	JRSUA	NT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) R EXTENDING TIME	
18				WHICH TO ASSUME OR UNEXPIRED LEASES OF	
19			ONRES ROPER	IDENTIAL REAL TY	
20		Da	ite:	February 25, 2015	
21			me: ept:	10:00 a.m. Courtroom 35	
22		Juo	dge:	Hon. Christopher M. Klein	
23					
24	Pursuant to § 365(d)(4) of title 11 of the U			· · · · · ·	
25	the City of Stockton, California (the "City"), the			1	
26	this "Motion") for entry of an order approving the	e stipu	llation,	attached hereto as Exhibit A, by	
27			C 1		
28	¹ All references to code sections are to the United States Ba specified.	ankrupt	cy Code,	11 U.S.C. § 101 <i>et seq.</i> , unless otherwise	
				OTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATIO FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4	

1	and between the City and the parties in interest ² to five of the City's lease/leaseback transactions		
2	extending the time under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) within which the City must assume or reject certain		
3	unexpired leases of nonresidential real property.		
4	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
5	The Court has jurisdiction over this motion and the relief requested pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
6	§§ 157 and 1334, and this matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157. Venue for the		
7	motion is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.		
8	BACKGROUND		
9	The Court is intimately familiar with the complex facts of the City's bankruptcy case.		
10	Accordingly, the City has omitted the customary background description of the events leading to		
11	and following the City's petition for relief and instead focuses this Motion on the background		
12	relevant to the City's unexpired leases of nonresidential real property.		
13	Prior to filing its petition for relief on June 28, 2012, the City had entered into the		
14	following five transactions involving leases/leaseback financings to fund various public capital		
15	improvements. In each transaction, the City entered into a lease for nonresidential real property		
16	(each a "Lease") that requires the City to pay rent for the use and occupancy of the leased		
17	property. ³ The Leases, as well as the real party or parties in interest ⁴ and Indenture Trustee for		
18	each, are as follows:		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23			
24	² National Public Finance Guaranty Corporation ("NPFG"), Assured Guaranty Corporation and Assured Guaranty		
25	Municipal Corporation (collectively, "Assured"), Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac"), and Wells Fargo Bank National Association ("Wells Fargo") as Indenture Trustee with respect to the Lease transactions identified in this Motion (together with the City, the "Stipulating Parties"). ³ Although described as lease transactions, it could be argued that certain of these transactions should be classified as secured loan transactions. Such transactions are included in this motion only in an abundance of caution in the event that such transactions are classified as true leases. As set forth herein, the Stipulating Parties reserve all rights with		
26			
27			
28	respect to these issues. ⁴ The real parties in interest to all Leases are the insurers of the respective bond and certificate of participation obligations.		
	- 2 - MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)		

	Lease	Real Party or Parties in Interest	Indenture Trustee		
2 3 4 5	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2003, by and between the Stockton Public Financing Authority (the "Authority"), as sublessor, and the City, as sublessee, relating to Certificates of Participation (Redevelopment Housing Projects), Series 2003A and Taxable Series 2003B (the "2003 Lease")	Ambac Assurance Corporation	Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo")		
5 7 3	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Stockton (the "Agency"), as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Redevelopment Agency of the City of Stockton Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Stockton Events Center–Arena Project) (the "2004 Arena Lease") as amended	National Public Finance Guaranty Corporation ("NPFG")	Wells Fargo		
) l 2 3	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2004, by and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Parking and Capital Projects) (the "2004 Parking Lease")	NPFG	Wells Fargo		
4 5 5	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2006, by and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority 2006 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A (the "2006 Lease")	NPFG	Wells Fargo		
7 3) 1	Lease Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2007, by and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series A (Building Acquisition Financing Project) and Taxable Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series B (Building Acquisition Financing Project) (the "2007 Lease")	Assured Guaranty Corporation; Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation	Wells Fargo		
2	While each Lease ⁵ differs from the others in some respects, the various financings and				
1	their Leases share the same fundamental structure:	To accomplish each tran	nsaction, the City		
5	leased nonresidential real property to either the Authority or the Agency (each a "PFA"), and the				
5	PFA subleased the property back to the City. The PFA then assigned its right to receive rental				
7	⁵ Copies of the Leases were attached as exhibits to the Declaration Of Vanessa Burke In Support Of City Of Stockton's Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. Nos. 984-87].				
	- 3 -	-	ER APPROVING STIPULATIO SUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(

payments (along with certain other rights relevant to the enforcement of remedies) under the
 applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issued bonds, or the trustee issued certificates of
 participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceeds to the City for expenditure on capital
 improvements.

Payment of the principal of and interest on the bonds and COPs is made through the
applicable trustee, pursuant to, inter alia, the terms of the related indenture or trust agreement,
from the proceeds of rental payments received from the City pursuant to the terms of the
applicable Lease and related assignment.⁶

9 Pursuant to \S 365(d)(4)(A), which is incorporated into chapter 9 cases by \S 901(a), the 10 City was initially required to decide whether to assume or reject its unexpired leases of 11 nonresidential property within 120 days of the entry of the order for relief. The Court's entry of 12 its order for relief on April 1, 2013 [Dkt. No. 843] triggered the 120-day period, giving the City 13 until July 30, 2013, to assume or reject its unexpired leases of nonresidential real property. 14 Section 365(d)(4)(B) allows bankruptcy courts to extend the initial 120-day period, in the first 15 instance upon a motion for cause brought by the debtor to extend the deadline by 90 days, and in 16 all subsequent instances upon the prior written consent of the respective lessors.

17 On July 5, 2013, the City moved, by its Revised And Amended Motion For Order 18 Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or 19 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 993] ("For Cause Extension 20 Motion") for a 90-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(i). No party in interest opposed such 21 motion. On July 24, 2013, the Court granted the For Cause Extension Motion, establishing a new 22 deadline of October 28, 2013 for the City to assume or reject its leases of nonresidential real 23 property. See Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending The Time Within Which The 24 City Must Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1033]. 25 All subsequent extensions of this deadline could be made only upon the prior written consent of 26 the individual lessors. See § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

^{28 &}lt;sup>6</sup> The descriptions of the transaction structure are included in this Motion for summary purposes only. In the event of any inconsistency between such descriptions and the relevant underlying documents, the underlying documents shall control.

1	On October 14, 2013, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For
2	Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or
3	Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1143] ("First Stipulated
4	Extension Motion") for a 120-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On October 16, 2013, upon
5	the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the First Stipulated Extension
6	Motion, establishing a new deadline of February 25, 2014 for the City to assume or reject its
7	leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C.
8	§ 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of
9	Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1154].
10	On February 4, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For
11	Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or
12	Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1245] ("Second Stipulated
13	Extension Motion") for a 125-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On February 7, 2014, upon
14	the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Second Stipulated
15	Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of June 30, 2014 for the City to assume or reject
16	its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C.
17	§ 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of
18	Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1251].
19	On June 12, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For
20	Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or
21	Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1582] ("Third Stipulated
22	Extension Motion") for a 123-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On June 19, 2014, upon
23	the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Third Stipulated
24	Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of October 31, 2014 for the City to assume or
25	reject its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11
26	U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired
27	Leases Of Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1601].
28	

28 ///

1	On October 6, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For				
2	Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or				
3	Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1728] ("Fourth Stipulated				
4	Extension Motion") for a 120-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On October 14, 2014, upon				
5	the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Fourth Stipulated				
6	Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of February 28, 2015 for the City to assume or				
7	reject its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11				
8	U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired				
9	Leases Of Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1733].				
10	RELIEF REQUESTED AND BASIS THEREFORE				
11	By this Motion, the City seeks an order pursuant to § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) approving the				
12	attached stipulation to extend the time within which the City must assume or reject the Leases by				
13	90 days, from February 28, 2015, through and including May 29, 2015.				
14	The Court entered its order confirming the City's First Amended Plan of Adjustment (as				
15	modified August 8, 2014) (the "Plan") on February 4, 2015 [Dkt. No. 1875]. Under Federal Rule				
16	of Bankruptcy Procedure 3020(e), the earliest date on which the Plan can go effective is February				
17	18. Although the Stipulating Parties are making every effort toward making the Plan go effective				
18	as soon as possible, it is possible that the complex transactions that must be completed before				
19	going effective will not be finalized until after February 28, 2015—the current deadline by which				
20	the City must assume or reject the Leases.				
21	It would thus cause significant prejudice to the City and to the other Stipulating Parties if				
22	the City were forced to make a determination regarding assumption or rejection at this time. The				
23	Stipulating Parties, and each of them, are therefore of the belief that a further extension of the				
24	time for the City to assume or reject the Leases is necessary, appropriate, and in the best interest				
25	of all interested parties.				
26	COMPLETE RESERVATION OF RIGHTS				
27	This Motion requests no relief other than the extension of time for the City to assume or				
28	reject the Leases. The Stipulating Parties, and each of them, reserve all rights, defenses and				
	- 6 - MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION FOR ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)				

	Case 12-32118 Filed 02/10/15 Doc 1882		
1	arguments other than those solely with respect to the extension of the time within which the City		
2	must assume or reject the Leases. The rights reserved by the Stipulating Parties include, but are		
3	not limited to, the following: (1) all rights, defenses and arguments as to whether the Leases are		
4	"leases" within the meaning of § 365; and (2) all rights, defenses and arguments with respect to		
5	the unlawful detainer suits against the City in the California Superior Court for the County of San		
6	Joaquin, case numbers 39-2012-00277622-CU-UD-STK and 39-2012-280741-CU-UD-STK.		
7	Moreover, no party in interest waives any rights, defenses and arguments by virtue of any failure		
8	to seek payment under the Leases during the periods prior to the assumption or rejection of the		
9	Leases, and there shall be no implication drawn from or prejudice resulting from any party's		
10	failure to seek such payment.		
11	<u>CONCLUSION</u>		
12	For the foregoing reasons, the City requests that the Court enter an order approving the		
13	attached stipulation to extend the time for the City to assume or reject its unexpired leases of		
14	nonresidential real property by 90 days, through and including May 29, 2015, and granting such		
15	other and further relief as the Court deems proper.		
16			
17	Dated: February 10, 2015 MARC A. LEVINSON NORMAN C. HILE		
18	PATRICK B. BOCASH Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP		
19			
20	By: <u>/s/ Marc A. Levinson</u> MARC A. LEVINSON		
21	Attorneys for Debtor		
22	City of Stockton		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
27			
28			

Exhibit A

	Case 12-32118 Filed 02/10)/15	Doc 18	82	
	7				
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 5761)	3)			
2	malevinson@orrick.com NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)				
3	nhile@orrick.com PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262763)				
4	pbocash@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP				
5	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497				
6	Telephone: +1-916-447-9200 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900				
7	Attorneys for Debtor				
8	City of Stockton				
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKR	UPTCY	COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF	CALIFO	DRNIA	
11	SACRAMENT	TO D	IVISION		
12					
13	In re:	C	ase No.	2012-32118	
14	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D	.C. No.	OHS-24	
15	Debtor.	C	hapter 9)	
16				TION FOR ORDER NT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)	
17		FU	URTHE	R EXTENDING TIME WHICH TO ASSUME OR	
18		R	EJECT U	UNEXPIRED LEASES OF IDENTIAL REAL	
19			ROPERT		
20			ate: me:	February 25, 2015 10:00 a.m.	
21		De	ept: dge:	Courtroom 35 Hon. Christopher M. Klein	
22]	-8		
23					
24	The City of Stockton, California (the "Cit	y"), tl	he debtor	in the above-captioned chapter 9	
25	bankruptcy case, National Public Finance Guaranty Corporation ("NPFG"), Assured Guaranty				
26	Corporation and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation (collectively, "Assured"), Ambac				
27	Assurance Corporation ("Ambac"), and Wells Fargo Bank National Association ("Wells Fargo")				
28	as Indenture Trustee with respect to the Lease tra	insacti	ions ident	tified in Recital A below (all	
			STIP	ULATION FOR ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING TIME PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)	

together, the "Stipulating Parties"), by and through their respective attorneys of record, seek an
 order approving the following stipulation (this "Stipulation") extending the time under
 Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii) within which the City must assume or reject certain

4 unexpired leases of nonresidential real property.

5

6

13

28

RECITALS

A. <u>The City's Lease/Leaseback Financings</u>

Prior to filing its petition for relief on June 28, 2012, the City had entered into the
following five transactions involving leases/leaseback financings to fund various public capital
improvements. In each transaction, the City entered into a lease for nonresidential real property
(each a "Lease") that requires the City to pay rent for the use and occupancy of the leased
property. The Leases, as well as the real party or parties in interest and Indenture Trustee for
each, are as follows:

14	Lease	Real Party or Parties in Interest	Indenture Trustee
15	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2003, by and between the Stockton Public Financing Authority		
16	(the "Authority"), as sublessor, and the City, as	Ambac Assurance	Wells Fargo Bank, National Association
17	sublessee, relating to Certificates of Participation (Redevelopment Housing Projects), Series 2003A	Corporation	("Wells Fargo")
18	and Taxable Series 2003B (the "2003 Lease")		
19	Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2004, by and between the Redevelopment Agency of the City		
20	of Stockton (the "Agency"), as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Redevelopment Agency of the	National Public Finance Guaranty Corporation	Wells Fargo
21	City of Stockton Revenue Bonds, Series 2004	("NPFG")	wens i urgo
22	(Stockton Events Center–Arena Project) (the "2004 Arena Lease") as amended		
23	Lease Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2004, by and		
24	between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing	NPFG	Wells Fargo
25	Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, Series 2004 (Parking and Capital Projects) (the "2004 Parking		
26	Lease")		
27			

STIPULATION FOR ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING TIME PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)

Lease	Real Party or Parties in Interest	Indenture Trustee	
Lease Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2006, by and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority 2006 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A (the "2006 Lease")	NPFG	Wells Fargo	
Lease Agreement, dated as of November 1, 2007, by and between the Authority, as lessor, and the City, as lessee, relating to Stockton Public Financing Authority Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series A (Building Acquisition Financing Project) and Taxable Variable Rate Demand Lease Revenue Bonds, 2007 Series B (Building Acquisition Financing Project) (the "2007 Lease")	Assured Guaranty Corporation; Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation	Wells Fargo	
While each Lease differs from the others in	some respects, the variou	us financings and the	
Leases share the same fundamental structure. To a	an annulish an sh tuan sa sti		
Leases share the same fundamental structure: To a	accomptish each transaction	on, the City leased	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority	-		
	or the Agency (each a "P	PFA"), and the PFA	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA t	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA t payments (along with certain other rights relevant t	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem ted bonds, or the trustee i	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA t payments (along with certain other rights relevant t applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issu	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem ted bonds, or the trustee i	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA to payments (along with certain other rights relevant to applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issu participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceed	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem red bonds, or the trustee i ds to the City for expendit	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of ture on capital	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA to payments (along with certain other rights relevant to applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issue participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceed improvements.	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem red bonds, or the trustee i ds to the City for expendit the bonds and COPs is m	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of ture on capital ade through the	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA to payments (along with certain other rights relevant to applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issu participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceed improvements. Payment of the principal of and interest on the	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem red bonds, or the trustee i ds to the City for expending the bonds and COPs is m of the related indenture of	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of ture on capital ade through the or trust agreement,	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA to payments (along with certain other rights relevant to applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issu participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceed improvements. Payment of the principal of and interest on to applicable trustee, pursuant to, inter alia, the terms	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem red bonds, or the trustee i ds to the City for expending the bonds and COPs is m of the related indenture of	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of ture on capital ade through the or trust agreement,	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA to payments (along with certain other rights relevant to applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issu participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceed improvements. Payment of the principal of and interest on to applicable trustee, pursuant to, inter alia, the terms from the proceeds of rental payments received from	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem red bonds, or the trustee i ls to the City for expendit the bonds and COPs is m of the related indenture o n the City pursuant to the	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of ture on capital ade through the or trust agreement, terms of the	
nonresidential real property to either the Authority subleased the property back to the City. The PFA to payments (along with certain other rights relevant to applicable Lease to a trustee. Finally, the PFA issue participation ("COPs"), and transferred the proceed improvements. Payment of the principal of and interest on to applicable trustee, pursuant to, inter alia, the terms from the proceeds of rental payments received from applicable Lease and related assignment. ¹	or the Agency (each a "P then assigned its right to to the enforcement of rem red bonds, or the trustee i ls to the City for expendit the bonds and COPs is m of the related indenture o n the City pursuant to the	PFA"), and the PFA receive rental nedies) under the ssued certificates of ture on capital ade through the or trust agreement, terms of the	

²⁸ The descriptions of the transaction structure are included in this Stipulation for summary purposes only. In the event of any inconsistency between such descriptions and the relevant underlying documents, the underlying documents shall control.

1

В.

Prior Extensions Of The Deadline To Assume Or Reject Leases

2 Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(A), which is incorporated into chapter 9 cases 3 by Bankruptcy Code § 901(a), the City was initially required to decide whether to assume or 4 reject its unexpired leases of nonresidential property within 120 days of the entry of the order for 5 relief. The Court's entry of its order for relief on April 1, 2013 [Dkt. No. 843] triggered the 120-6 day period, giving the City until July 30, 2013, to assume or reject its unexpired leases of 7 nonresidential real property. Bankruptcy Code 365(d)(4)(B) allows the Court to extend the 8 period during which the City may assume or reject the Leases, in the first instance upon a motion 9 for cause brought by the City to extend the deadline by 90 days, and in all subsequent instances 10 upon the prior written consent of the respective lessors.

11 On July 5, 2013, the City moved, by its Revised And Amended Motion For Order 12 Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Extending Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or 13 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 993] ("For Cause Extension 14 Motion") for a 90-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(i). On July 24, 2013, the Court granted the 15 For Cause Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of October 28, 2013 for the City to 16 assume or reject its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. 17 § 365(d)(4) Extending The Time Within Which The City Must Assume Or Reject Unexpired 18 Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1033]. All subsequent extensions of this 19 deadline could be made only upon the prior written consent of the individual lessors. See 20 § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

21 On October 14, 2013, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For 22 Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or 23 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1143] ("First Stipulated 24 Extension Motion") for a 120-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On October 16, 2013, upon 25 the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the First Stipulated Extension 26 Motion, establishing a new deadline of February 25, 2014 for the City to assume or reject its 27 leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. 28 111

- 4 -

1

2

§ 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of
 Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1154].

3 On February 4, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For 4 Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or 5 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1245] ("Second Stipulated 6 Extension Motion") for a 125-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On February 7, 2014, upon 7 the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Second Stipulated 8 Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of June 30, 2014 for the City to assume or reject 9 its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. 10 § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired Leases Of 11 Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1251].

12 On June 12, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For 13 Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or 14 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1582] ("Third Stipulated 15 Extension Motion") for a 123-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On June 19, 2014, upon 16 the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Third Stipulated 17 Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of October 31, 2014 for the City to assume or 18 reject its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 19 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired 20 Leases Of Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1601].

21 On October 6, 2014, the City moved, by its Motion For Order Approving Stipulation For 22 Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or 23 Reject Unexpired Leases Of Nonresidential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1728] ("Fourth Stipulated 24 Extension Motion") for a 120-day extension under § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii). On October 14, 2014, upon 25 the prior written consent of the individual lessors, the Court granted the Fourth Stipulated 26 Extension Motion, establishing a new deadline of February 28, 2015 for the City to assume or 27 reject its leases of nonresidential real property. See Order Approving Stipulation Pursuant To 11 28 ///

1 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4) Further Extending Time Within Which To Assume Or Reject Unexpired 2 Leases Of Non-Residential Real Property [Dkt. No. 1733].

C.

3

10

Reasons For The Stipulation

4 The Stipulating Parties agree that in light of the status of this case, including the entry on 5 February 4, 2015 of the order confirming the City's first amended plan as modified on August 8, 6 2014, there is no reason for the City to force any issues relating to the Leases. The Stipulating 7 Parties, and each of them, are therefore of the belief that a further extension of the time for the 8 City to assume or reject the Leases is appropriate and in the best interest of all interested parties. 9 Accordingly, the Stipulating Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

STIPULATION

11 A. The Stipulating Parties agree that the time within which the City must 12 assume or reject the Leases under Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4) should be extended by 90 days, 13 from February 28, 2015 through and including May 29, 2015.

14 Β. The consent of the Stipulating Parties, not including the City, satisfies 15 Bankruptcy Code § 365(d)(4)(B)(ii).

16 C. In entering into this Stipulation, the Stipulating Parties, and each of them, 17 reserve all rights, defenses and arguments other than those solely with respect to the extension of 18 the time within which the City must assume or reject the Leases. The rights reserved by the 19 Stipulating Parties include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) all rights, defenses and 20 arguments as to whether the Leases are "leases" within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code § 365; 21 and (2) all rights, defenses and arguments with respect to the unlawful detainer suits against the 22 City in the California Superior Court for the County of San Joaquin, case numbers 39-2012-23 00277622-CU-UD-STK and 39-2012-280741-CU-UD-STK. Moreover, no party in interest 24 waives any rights, defenses and arguments by virtue of any failure to seek payment under the 25 Leases during the periods prior to the assumption or rejection of the Leases, and there shall be no 26 implication drawn from or prejudice resulting from any party's failure to seek such payment. 27 ///

- 6 -

	Case 12	2-32118	Filed 02/10/15	Doc 1882
1 2	Dated: February 10, 2015		ORRICK,	HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
2			/s/ Marc A	Levinson
4			Marc A. L	
5			Attomeys	for the City of Stockton
6	Dated: February 10, 2015		WEIL G	OTSHAL & MANGES LLP
7	Ducca. Teordary 10, 2013		WEIL, O	
8			/s/ Debra	A. Dandeneau
9			Debra A.	Dandeneau s for National Public Finance Guarantee
10			Corporati	
11				
12	Dated: February 10, 2015		SIDLEY	AUSTIN LLP
13				
14			<u>/s/ Jeffrey</u> Jeffrey E	<u>E. Bjork</u> Bjork
15			Attorneys	s for Assured Guaranty Corp. and Assured Municipal Corp.
16			5	1 1
17	Dated: February 10, 2015		ARENT F	'OX LLP
18				
19			/s/ David	
20			David L. 1 Attorneys	Dubrow for Ambac Assurance Corp.
21			·	
22				
23	Dated: February 10, 2015		MINTZ, I AND POF	EVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY PEO, p.c.
24				
25				ı W. Kannel
26			Attorneys	V. Kannel for Wells Fargo Bank, National
27			Associatio	on, as Indenture Trustee
28				
	OHSUSA:761102590.1		- 7 -	STIPULATION FOR ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING TIME PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(4)