Case 12-32118 Filed 12/03/14 Doc 1819

	9		
1	James O. Johnston (Cal. Bar No. 167330) JONES DAY		
2	555 South Flower Street, 50th Floor		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 489-3939		
4	Facsimile: (213) 243-2539 Email: jjohnston@jonesday.com		
5	cswasserstein@jonesday.com		
6	Joshua D. Morse (Cal. Bar No. 211050) JONES DAY		
7	555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
8	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700		
9	Email: jmorse@jonesday.com		
10	Attorneys for Franklin High Yield Tax-Free Income Fund and Franklin California High		
11	Yield Municipal Fund		
12	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
15	T	C N 12 22110	
16	In re:	Case No. 12-32118	
17	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D.C. No. JD-2	
18	Debtor.	Chapter 9	
19		EXHIBIT A IN SUPPORT OF FRANKLIN'S REPLY IN	
20		SUPPORT OF MOTION TO ALTER AND AMEND FINDINGS OF FACT	
21		AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING ALLOWED AMOUNT	
22) OF RETIREE HEALTH BENEFIT) CLAIMS	
23)) ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
24		Hearing: December 10, 2014 Time: 11:00 a.m.	
25		Dept: C, Courtroom 35 Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein	
26)	
27			
28		EYHIRIT A IN SUDDORT O	

EXHIBIT A IN SUPPORT OF FRANKLIN'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO AMEND FINDINGS

Case 12-32118 Filed 12/03/14 Doc 1819

1	Exhibit A	Direct Testimony Declaration Of Teresia Zadroga-Haase [DN 1385]3
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12 13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

EXHIBIT A

	6				
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 57613)				
2	malevinson@orrick.com NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)				
3	nhile@orrick.com PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262763)				
4	pbocash@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP				
5	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814-4497				
_	Telephone: +1-916-447-9200				
6	Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900				
7 8	Attorneys for Debtor City of Stockton				
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT				
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION				
12	In re:	Case No. 2012-32118			
13	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D.C. No. OHS-15			
14	Debtor.	Chapter 9			
15		DIRECT TESTIMONY DECLARATION OF TERESIA			
16		ZADROGA-HAASE IN SUPPORT OF CONFIRMATION OF FIRST			
17		AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF DEBTS OF CITY			
18		OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA (NOVEMBER 15, 2013) ¹			
19	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL	Adv. No. 2013-02315			
20	ASSOCIATION, FRANKLIN HIGH YIELD TAX-FREE INCOME FUND,	Date: May 12, 2014			
21	AND FRANKLIN CALIFORNIA HIGH YIELD MUNICIPAL FUND,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 35			
22	Plaintiffs,	Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein			
23	V.				
24	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,				
25	Defendant.				
26					
	1 1				

While this declaration is made in support of confirmation of the Plan, out of an abundance of caution, and because the evidentiary hearing on Plan confirmation and the trial in the adversary proceeding share common issues, it is being filed in both the main case and the adversary proceeding

I, Teresia Zadroga-Haase, hereby declare:

- 1. I am the Human Resources Director for the City of Stockton, California ("the City" or "Stockton"). I make this declaration in support of confirmation of the City of Stockton, California's ("City") First Amended Plan For The Adjustment Of Debts Of City Of Stockton, California (November 15, 2013). In my capacity as Human Resources Director, I am responsible for administering the City's human resources, labor relations, workforce planning, equal employment, risk management, and benefits administration functions.
- 2. There are 1,101 persons with Retiree Health Benefit Claims² against the City. These 1,101 claimants, whose Retiree Health Benefit Claims the Plan classifies in Class 12, are a subset of the members of Class 15, which includes the City's over 2,100 CalPERS retirees as well as current and former employees entitled to City CalPERS pension benefits. Although all 1,101 Retiree Health Benefit Claimants are City CalPERS retirees, not all City CalPERS retirees are Retiree Health Benefit Claimants. A Retiree Health Benefit Claimant has two separate and distinct interests. One of these, pension benefits paid to him by the City through CalPERS, is shared with all 2,100 CalPERS retirees. The other, lifetime health benefits paid to him by the City pursuant to labor agreements executed by the City, is not.
- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of a forecast of what the yearly cost of the City's retiree health benefit program would have been if the City had not eliminated its contribution to retiree health benefit payments. This forecast was prepared by the Segal Company, the City's health insurance and other post-employment benefits actuary. As reflected in the forecast, retiree health benefit claims would have cost the City approximately \$14.9 million in fiscal year ("FY") 2012-2013. The cost in FY 2013-2014 to date would have been approximately \$11.7 million (the approximate forecasted cost for all 12 months of FY 2013-2014, \$15.6 million, prorated for the period of July 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014). The filing of the bankruptcy case has enabled the City to avoid paying these amounts, meaning that the bankruptcy has allowed the City to avoid paying approximately \$26.6 million in retiree health

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the First Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of City of Stockton, California (November 15, 2013) [Dkt. No. 1204].

Case 12-32118 Filed 02/03/14 Doc 1889

1	benefit costs to date. Because the Association of Refired Employees of the City of Stockton
2	("ARECOS") already has pursued legal action against the City for the breach of its retiree health
3	benefit obligations, I believe that, were the bankruptcy case dismissed, ARECOS or some other
4	group claiming to represent Retiree Health Benefit Claimants would sue the City for payment of
5	the amount of the unpaid benefits to date.
6	4. The Retirees Settlement is no bonanza for Retiree Health Benefit Claimants. If the
7	Plan is confirmed, each Retiree Health Benefit Claimant will receive approximately a penny for
8	each dollar of his or her Retiree Health Benefit Claim. Even with the cost reductions associated
9	with the Affordable Care Act, health insurance premiums—especially for older individuals—
10	remain expensive. For many Retiree Health Benefit Claimants, the amount they will receive
11	under the Plan will cover only a few months of premiums for a health insurance policy purchased
12	on a public exchange. For example, a Retiree Health Benefit Claimant with a claim of \$1 million
13	will receive \$10,000. The FY 2013-2014 City self-pay retiree monthly medical premium for the
14	Under 65 Modified Self-Funded plan is \$1,035.85 for the retiree only, and \$1,864.53 for the
15	retiree plus one dependent. A settlement of \$10,000 (i.e., 1% of \$1 million) would not cover even
16	12 months' premium for the retiree only, and would cover less than six months' premium for the
17	retiree plus one dependent.
18	
19	Executed this 21th day of April 2014, at Mackfon, California. I declare
20	under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of
21	America that the foregoing is true and correct.
22	1 Lauria Carl
23	Teresia Zadroga-Haase
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

	Case 12-32118	Filed 02/03/14	Doc 1889
-		• •	• . A
	L1'~~	hib	4 + /
	$\Gamma_{A}X$		it A
-			

CITY OF STOCKTON BANKRUPTCY STUDY PROJECTED RETIREE HEALTH COSTS

Year Ended	Projected Costs		
June 30,	Annual	Cumulative	
2013	14,919,712	14,919,712	
2014	15,555,962	30,475,674	
2015	16,038,883	46,514,557	
2016	16,513,171	63,027,728	
2017	16,854,112	79,881,840	
2018	17,040,154	96,921,994	
2019	17,026,612	113,948,606	
2020	17,041,141	130,989,747	
2021	17,111,465	148,101,212	
2022	16,734,478	164,835,690	
2023	16,591,229	181,426,919	
2024	16,255,928	197,682,847	
2025	16,296,902	213,979,749	
2026	16,128,568	230,108,317	
2027	15,560,288	245,668,605	
2028	15,312,676	260,981,281	
2029	15,325,322	276,306,603	
2030	15,230,484	291,537,087	
2031	15,120,823	306,657,910	
2032	14,970,506	321,628,416	
2033	14,819,087	336,447,503	
2034	14,556,248	351,003,751	
2035	14,283,793	365,287,544	
2036	13,918,697	379,206,241	
2037	13,452,091	392,658,332	
2038	12,904,248	405,562,580	
2039	12,334,811	417,897,391	
2040	11,705,505	429,602,896	
2041	11,004,864	440,607,760	
2042	10,300,600	450,908,360	
2043	9,634,319	460,542,679	
2044	8,951,554	469,494,233	
2045	8,287,777	477,782,010	
2046	7,609,337	485,391,347	
2047	6,946,526	492,337,873	
2048	6,324,907	498,662,780	
2049	5,733,526	504,396,306	
2050	5,166,114	509,562,420	
2051	4,634,923	514,197,343	
2052	4,142,114	518,339,457	
2053	3,689,097	522,028,554	
2054	3,275,243	525,303,797	
2055	2,899,180	528,202,977	
2056	2,559,343	530,762,320	
2057	2,254,005	533,016,325	
2058	1,979,573	534,995,898	
2059	1,731,965	536,727,863	
2000	1,701,000	300,727,000	

9

CITY OF STOCKTON BANKRUPTCY STUDY PROJECTED RETIREE HEALTH COSTS

Year Ended	Projecte	Projected Costs		
June 30,	Annual	Cumulative		
2060	1,508,624	538,236,487		
2061	1,306,952	539,543,439		
2062	1,125,615	540,669,054		
2063	962,940	541,631,994		
2064	817,306	542,449,300		
2065	687,765	543,137,065		
2066	573,600	543,710,665		
2067	473,908	544,184,573		
2068	387,718	544,572,291		
2069	313,966	544,886,257		
2070	251,537	545,137,794		
2071	199,225	545,337,019		
2072	155,838	545,492,857		
2073	120,246	545,613,103		
2074	91,420	545,704,523		
2075	68,416	545,772,939		
2076	50,372	545,823,311		
2077	36,484	545,859,795		
2078	26,002	545,885,797		
2079	18,237	545,904,034		
2080	12,584	545,916,618		
2081	8,530	545,925,148		
2082	5,669	545,930,817		
2083	3,685	545,934,502		
2084	2,338	545,936,840		
2085	1,442	545,938,282		
2086	862	545,939,144		
2087	497	545,939,641		
2088	276	545,939,917		
2089	146	545,940,063		
2090	73	545,940,136		
2091	35	545,940,171		
2092	15	545,940,186		
2093	6	545,940,192		
2094	2	545,940,194		
2095	1	545,940,195		